



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,456	06/28/2001	Tatsuya Kawakami	SN-US015079	2153

22919 7590 10/17/2003

SHINJYU GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP  
1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680

EXAMINER
----------

KIM, CHONG HWA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3682

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/892,456

Applicant(s)

Kawakami

Examiner

Chong H. Kim

Group Art Unit

3682

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chong Kim

(3)

(2) Thomas Morrison

(4)

Date of Interview

10/10/00

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 16

Identification of prior art discussed:

DE 199 22327 A1 & Miller, US Pat 4,885,219

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Both parties agreed that Miller reference is non-analogous art that does not teach the sliding door following in an arcuate path along the outer surface of the housing. The applicant will follow up w/ Request for Reconsideration and the Examiner will provide another non-final rejection or pass the case to issue.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1, above is also checked.

CHONG H. KIM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.